

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 010317
ORIGIN EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04 H-01 INR-07 L-03
NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06
ACDA-07 TRSE-00 SAJ-01 CU-02 /068 R

DRAFTED BY EUR/RPM:JJMARESCA:PF

APPROVED BY EUR:AAHARTMAN

C:JMONTGOMERY

S/P:PKAPLAN

S/S:MR. SEBASTIAN

-

-----172022Z 069067 /44

R 171826Z JAN 77
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO USMISSION NATO
INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS
AMEMBASSY BELGRADE
AMEMBASSY BERLIN
AMEMBASSY BUCHAREST
AMEMBASSY BUDAPEST
AMEMBASSY SOFIA
AMEMBASSY PRAGUE
AMEMBASSY WARSAW
AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

CONFIDENTIAL STATE 010317

E.O. 11652:GDS

TAGS: PFOR, NATO, CSCE

SUBJECT: PREPARATIONS FOR BELGRADE -- THE PREPARATORY
-- MEETING

REFS: A) STATE 304584 B) STATE 009708

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 010317

1. WE HAVE RECENTLY MADE CONTRIBUTIONS TO POLADS DISCUSSIONS OF PREPARATIONS FOR BELGRADE WHICH WERE DESIGNED TO FOCUS ALLIED ATTENTION ON THE QUESTIONS OF NEW PROPOSALS TO BE PUT FORWARD AT BELGRADE (REF A) AND ON THE CENTRAL BELGRADE FUNCTION OF THE REVIEW OF IMPLEMENTATION (REF B). THIS TELEGRAM TRANSMITS A SIMILAR US CONTRIBUTION ON THE PREPARATORY MEETING TO BEGIN IN BELGRADE ON JUNE 15. LIKE

OUR PREVIOUS CONTRIBUTIONS IT BUILDS ON THE OVERALL OBJECTIVES AND STRATEGY WHICH HAVE ALREADY BEEN IDENTIFIED IN NATO CONSULTATIONS AND WHICH ARE REFLECTED IN THE EXISTING NATO PAPER ON BELGRADE. THESE CONTRIBUTIONS ARE INTENDED AS A STIMULUS TO ALLIED THINKING. WE WILL BE INTERESTED IN THE REACTIONS OF OUR ALLIES AND WISH TO TAKE ALLIED VIEWS INTO ACCOUNT AS WE FORMULATE OUR FINAL POSITIONS. WE CONTINUE TO BELIEVE THAT THE NEED FOR GENERAL WESTERN UNITY IS ESSENTIAL FOR SUCCESS IN BELGRADE.

2. YOU SHOULD CIRCULATE THE FOLLOWING PAPER TO THE POLADS AT THE EARLIEST OPPORTUNITY, MAKING THE ABOVE POINTS AND INDICATING THAT WE HOPE FOR A THOROUGH DISCUSSION OF THE PREPARATORY MEETING AT THE NEXT NATO EXPERTS' SESSION ON FEBRUARY 7.

3. BEGIN TEXT.

-- BELGRADE -- THE PREPARATORY MEETING --

THE FINAL ACT DEFINES FAIRLY CLEARLY THE TASKS OF THE PREPARATORY MEETING: "DECIDE ON THE DATE, DURATION, AGENDA AND OTHER MODALITIES" OF THE MAIN MEETING. IN ADDITION TO THESE SPECIFIC TASKS, HOWEVER, THE PREPARATORY MEETING WILL SET THE TONE FOR THE MAIN MEETING AND WILL PROVIDE A LOCUS FOR A WIDE VARIETY OF INFORMAL CORRIDOR CONTACTS WHICH WILL CONTRIBUTE TO THE MUTUAL KNOWLEDGE OF NATIONAL POSITIONS AND PROVIDE A BACKGROUND OF INFORMATION, PRECEDENTS AND WORK HABITS TO

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 010317

SUPPLEMENT THE FORMALLY AGREED AGENDA AND MODALITIES. FOR THESE REASONS WE BELIEVE THE PREPARATORY MEETING CAN PLAY AN IMPORTANT ROLE IN ENSURING THAT THE MAIN MEETING EVOLVES IN ACCORDANCE WITH WESTERN CONCEPTIONS AND IN SUCH A WAY AS TO PROVIDE THE BEST POSSIBILITIES FOR MEETING WESTERN AIMS.

--WE BELIEVE THAT OUR PRINCIPAL OBJECTIVE IN THE PREPARATORY MEETING IS TO ACHIEVE AGREEMENT ON AN AGENDA, STRUCTURE FOR DISCUSSION AND PROCEDURAL OUTCOME FOR THE MAIN MEETING WHICH WILL BEST SERVE OUR SUBSTANTIVE AIMS AND OUR NEGOTIATING STRATEGY. CURRENT US THINKING ON THE DURATION OF THE MAIN MEETING, AS WELL AS THE RESPECTIVE ROLES OF PLENARIES AND COMMITTEES, ARE DISCUSSED IN THE US CONTRIBUTION ON REVIEW OF IMPLEMENTATION.

WE BELIEVE THE FOLLOWING ELEMENTS SHOULD HELP TO GUIDE OUR APPROACH TO THE PREPARATORY MEETING:

1) MAINTAIN THE PREPARATORY MEETING AS A TECHNICAL MEETING: IT IS IN OUR INTEREST TO CONCENTRATE PUBLIC AND PRESS ATTENTION ON THE MAIN MEETING, AND ESPECIALLY ON ITS REVIEW OF IMPLEMENTATION. FOR THIS REASON WE SHOULD CONSCIOUSLY TREAT THE PREPARATORY MEETING AS A LOWER-LEVEL, TECHNICAL GATHERING WHOSE FUNCTIONS ARE NON-SUBSTANTIVE AND ARE LIMITED TO THE SPECIFIC MANDATE ASSIGNED TO IT BY THE FINAL ACT. WE RECOGNIZE, OF COURSE, THAT SUBSTANTIVE CONSIDERATIONS WILL HOVER JUST BELOW

THE SURFACE OF THESE TECHNICAL DISCUSSIONS, BUT WE SHOULD CONSCIOUSLY WORK TO AVOID SUBSTANTIVE DISCUSSIONS AND TO INDICATE THAT THEY ARE INAPPROPRIATE TO THE PREPARATORY MEETING AND SHOULD BE RESERVED FOR THE MAIN MEETING.

2) ENHANCE THE AUTHORITY OF THE FINAL ACT AND THE HELSINKI CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 010317

BLUE BOOK AS GUIDELINES FOR THE CONDUCT OF THE BELGRADE MEETINGS: WE BELIEVE THIS IS IN OUR INTEREST BECAUSE IT WILL ENHANCE OUR POSSIBILITIES FOR GROUNDING THE REVIEW OF IMPLEMENTATION IN THE SPECIFIC LANGUAGE OF THE FINAL ACT. THIS WILL PERMIT US TO TURN ASIDE THE VAGUE REFERENCES TO THE "SPIRIT OF HELSINKI" WHICH ARE LIKELY TO CHARACTERIZE THE POSITIONS OF THE USSR AND THE EAST EUROPEAN STATES. TO DO THIS WE BELIEVE WE SHOULD DELIBERATELY SEEK TO BASE THE AGENDA FOR THE MAIN MEETING ON THE SPECIFIC WORDS AND PHRASES INCLUDED IN THE FINAL ACT. SIMILARLY, WE SHOULD USE THE "BLUE BOOK" TERMINOLOGY AND PROCEDURES, AS WELL AS THOSE DEVELOPED DURING THE CSCE, AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE. THIS APPROACH WILL BE UNASSAILABLE IN CONFERENCE TERMS SINCE THE PHRASEOLOGY OF THE FINAL ACT AND OF THE BLUE BOOK HAVE ALREADY BEEN AGREED.

3) ENSURE THAT REVIEW OF IMPLEMENTATION APPEARS AS FIRST AGENDA ITEM: THE LANGUAGE OF THE FINAL ACT CLEARLY INDICATES THAT THE REVIEW OF IMPLEMENTATION SHOULD BE THE FIRST ITEM OF BUSINESS AT BELGRADE. WE SHOULD INSIST THAT THE AGENDA REFLECT THIS ORDER OF BUSINESS.

4) ACHIEVE WRITTEN AGREEMENT ON DATE, AGENDA, AND MODALITIES FOR MAIN MEETING: BEFORE ADJOURNMENT OF THE PREPARATORY MEETING, WRITTEN AGREEMENT SHOULD BE REACHED ON AN AGENDA, COMMITTEE STRUCTURE, PROCEDURAL OUTCOME (E.G., COMMUNIQUE AND/OR CLOSING STATEMENTS) AND THE OPENING DATE FOR THE MAIN MEETING. OTHER KEY PROCEDURAL ISSUES, SUCH AS CLOSED OR OPEN CHARACTER OF MEETINGS, ALSO SHOULD BE DETERMINED AT THE PREPARATORY MEETING,

JUST AS COMMITTEE MANDATES FOR GENEVA PHASE II OF CSCE
WERE COMPLETED IN THE MULTILATERAL PREPARATORY TALKS.
WE DO NOT BELIEVE ALLIES SHOULD AGREE IN PREPARATORY
MEETING TO A CLOSING DATE FOR THE MAIN MEETING, AS THIS
WOULD REMOVE THE LEVERAGE INHERENT IN THE SOVIET WISH TO
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 05 STATE 010317

END THE BELGRADE MEETINGS QUICKLY.

5) AIM AT SHORT PREPARATORY MEETING: IN LINE WITH
CONCENTRATING PUBLIC ATTENTION ON THE MAIN MEETING, WE
SHOULD NOT ALLOW THE PREPARATORY MEETING TO DRAG ON
INTERMINABLY. WHILE IT MAY BE IN OUR INTEREST TO REJECT

ANY TIME LIMIT ON THE MAIN MEETING, IT WOULD NOT BE IN
OUR INTEREST TO APPEAR TO BE HAVING AN ENDLESS SQUABBLE
OVER PROCEDURE BEFORE GETTING DOWN TO THE REAL BUSINESS
OF THE BELGRADE GATHERING. THE APPROACH OF USING THE
ACTUAL WORDS AND PHRASES OF THE FINAL ACT AND THE BLUE
BOOK WILL CONTRIBUTE TO KEEPING THE PREPARATORY MEETING
SHORT. HOWEVER, AS INDICATED ABOVE, WE SHOULD NOT END
THE PREPARATORY MEETING UNTIL OUR OBJECTIVES HAVE BEEN
ACHIEVED.

6) THE PREPARATORY MEETING SHOULD BE CONDUCTED IN CLOSED
SESSIONS: WE SEE NO ADVANTAGE IN HOLDING A TECHNICAL,
LARGELY PROCEDURAL DISCUSSION IN PUBLIC, SINCE IT WOULD
GIVE AN UNDESIRABLE PUBLIC IMPRESSION OF THE BELGRADE
MEETINGS.

7) PREPARATION IN ADVANCE: WE SHOULD BE PREPARED TO
TABLE IMMEDIATELY, UPON THE OPENING OF THE PREPARATORY
MEETING, A DRAFT AGENDA AND A DRAFT PAPER ON TIMING AND
PROCEDURES FOR THE MAIN MEETING. AS A CONTRIBUTION TO
NATO THINKING ON THESE POINTS WE SET OUT BELOW A POSSIBLE
DRAFT AGENDA, DRAWN FROM THE FINAL ACT, AS A BASIS FOR
DISCUSSION AMONG THE ALLIES.

DRAFT OF A POSSIBLE AGENDA FOR THE MAIN BELGRADE MEETING

I. EXCHANGE OF VIEWS ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PROVI-
SIONS OF THE FINAL ACT AND OF THE TASKS DEFINED BY THE
CONFERENCE ON SECURITY AND COOPERATION IN EUROPE.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 06 STATE 010317

II. EXCHANGE OF VIEWS, IN THE CONTEXT OF THE QUESTIONS
DEALT WITH BY THE CONFERENCE ON SECURITY AND COOPERATION
IN EUROPE, ON THE DEEPENING OF MUTUAL RELATIONS AMONG THE
PARTICIPATING STATES, THE IMPROVEMENT OF SECURITY AND THE
DEVELOPMENT OF COOPERATION IN EUROPE, AND THE DEVELOPMENT
OF THE PROCESS OF DETENTE IN THE FUTURE.

III. DEFINITION OF THE APPROPRIATE MODALITIES FOR THE
HOLDING OF OTHER MEETINGS. END TEXT.

KISSINGER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptoning: X
Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: POLICIES, TREATY COMPLIANCE, MEETING AGENDA, MEETINGS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Sent Date: 17-Jan-1977 12:00:00 am
Decapton Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am
Decapton Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 22 May 2009
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977STATE010317
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: JJMARESCA:PF
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D770017-0354
Format: TEL
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770145/aaaabmzy.tel
Line Count: 236
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 16c6f2d8-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ORIGIN EUR
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 5
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 77 STATE 304584, 77 STATE 9708
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 08-Dec-2004 12:00:00 am
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 3579751
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: PREPARATIONS FOR BELGRADE -- THE PREPARATORY -- MEETING
TAGS: PFOR, US, NATO, CSCE
To: NATO BRUSSELS
Type: TE
vdkgvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/16c6f2d8-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
22 May 2009
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009